

Political Science & International Relations

Crash Course & Test Series - 2021

Test 06

Time Allowed: 3 Hours

Max Marks: 250

Name

ANKITA

Test Date

7/12

Email Address

UPSC Roll No

Instructions:

1. There are EIGHT questions divided in TWO SECTIONS and candidate has to attempt five questions in all.
2. Question Nos. 1 and 5 are compulsory and out of the remaining, any three are to be attempted choosing at least ONE question from each section.
3. Content of the answer is more important than its length.
4. Answers must be written in the medium authorized in the admission Certificate, which must be stated clearly on the cover of the booklet in the space provided.
5. Content of the answer is more important than its length.
6. Any page or portion of the page left blank in the Question-Cum-Answer Booklet must be clearly struck off.
7. All parts of the question should be written at one place itself.
8. Write the test in exam atmosphere.
9. **Filling all the details in BLOCK LETTERS is mandatory.**

S. No.	a	b	c	d	e	Total
1						
2				X	X	
3				X	X	
4				X	X	
5						
6				X	X	
7				X	X	
8				X	X	
Grand Total						

For any issue related to PSIR Test Series, write only at

nsirtestseries@shuhhraranian.com



SHUBHRA RANJAN

Always Ahead

25, Pusa Road, Karol Bagh, Delhi 110005. ☎ 011 45612719

	Poor	Average	Good	Very Good	Excellent
Understanding of Questions					
Structure & Flow					
(a) Introduction					
(b) Conclusion					
(c) Overall					
Subject Knowledge					
Presentation					
(a) Underline					
(b) Paragraph					
(c) Overall					

Overall Remarks:

Dear Student,

All the best for next test...!!!

1a comparative politics has gained immensely from the behavioral revolution of Post war years.

The structural-functional approach by Gabriel Almond came out of the behavioral revolution. It was an attempt to improve upon the systems approach given by David Easton.

The structural-functional approach emphasised on the functions of the political system - making it more inclusive & developing societies (~~so~~ prismatic societies). Each system has various functions

U.P.S.C.

to perform like rule making,
rule execution & rule adjudication.

Inputs are political socialisation,
interest aggregation etc.

This approach was also criticised
as giving too much emphasis
on the input functions. It was
called status-quoist as it did
not account for revolutions
or breakdown of the system.
Much like Easton's theory, it
was seen as a mere conceptual
framework, with no deep
insights.

However we cannot deny that it
widens the scope of study to
developing areas, making it
truly comparative.

U.P.S.C.

(b) Hans Morgenthau is considered the Father of Realism. His approach to realism is called classical realism.

In his book "Politics Among Nations (1948)" he gives his six principles of realism, one of which is on National Interest.

For Morgenthau, National Interest is the signpost that should guide nations on the bumpy road of International Politics.

It has both static and dynamical components.

U.P.S.C.

The dynamic component changes with context but he explains the static component as

"protection of a nation's territorial physical, cultural, economic identity against external and internal forces".

Morgenthau's concept of National Interest have been criticised as vague and abstract - a "pseudo theory". Feminists criticise it as homogenous & based on masculine experiences alone.

His concept may be vague but the practice of IP knows that nations continue to put National interest at the centre of foreign policy.

Qe

Realism is a hegemonic school of International Politics which believes that IP is state-centric and ego-centric states in an anarchic world are embroiled in a struggle for power.

Realism is a meta ideology. Defensive and offensive realisms are two views within neorealism.

~~Both~~ Both defensive (Kenneth Waltz) and offensive (measheimer) realists believe that states are constrained by an anarchical world structure (absence of govt). Thus self help is the

only way to survive.

But defensive realists believe that states are security maximisers.

They accumulate the amount of power based on threat perception. Excessive power grab leads to other powers balancing together to balance the state.

However, offensive realists believe states are power maximisers who will seek hegemony. Other powers will not balance but bandwagon.

China's fascinating rise ~~in its~~ under Xi Jinping ("getting strong" era) shows Mearsheimer may have been more correct.

Qd

Terrorism is a pejorative, contested and passion laden term. It signifies "illegitimate" use of force/violence against non-combatants for political purposes.

Religious terrorism

Terrorists that claim to take inspiration from their religion, and see violence as a legitimate means to religious ends are called religious terrorists.

In contemporary times, religious terrorism is practiced by a fundamental vision of Islam

U.P.S.C.

espoused in West Asia.

Al Qaeda is one such organisation which was born out of the rubble of American invasion of Iraq.

It opposed American / western presence on the "holy land" / Arabia. The ideology of suicide bombing was given religious sanctions (attainment of heaven). Religious terrorism of this brand is also seen as nihilism as it cannot be negotiated with for political ends -

However, social constructivists fear caution against essentialising it as if it further alienates and has led to Islamophobia.

Q1e

UN Peacekeeping, started under Dag Hammarskjöld can be seen as the practical way of operationalising the UN objective of ensuring peace.

Though it has been one of the more successful UN initiatives, several reforms are needed -

(1) Troop contribution is dominated by developing countries but decisions on deployment are taken by UNSC alone. Thus troop contributing countries should have a greater say in the

U.P.S.C.

decision making process.

(2) Financial contribution by rich countries is delayed often. Thus payments should be prompt.

(3) Dereliction of duty and other violations by UNPK forces must be taken under consideration including misappropriation of funds.

As a leader of the global south and one of the largest troop contributing countries, India has the credibility to take the conversation forward on these reforms.

U.P.S.C.

2a

Realist scholars of International Politics, prominently Hans Morgenstern have conceptualised IP as the struggle for power between power-maximising states in a state of anarchy. ~~In context during the cold war, IP was seen shaped by the power struggles between the two super powers USA and USSR, with other states being mere satellites.~~ In contemporary times, we can refer to the work of Mearsheimer ("Tragedy of Great Power Politics," 2001) who believes that China is a power maximiser, and the rivalry between US and China will be the guiding

paradigm of international relations

The rivalry

China today is the 2nd largest economy and the largest in PPP terms. It has the largest navy and a political culture that seeks to replace US as a hegemon by 2050 (19th CPC congress). It is using the Belt and Road initiative as its ^{claims over} Marshall Plan, making south china sea that no one is in a position to contest.

Its relationship with Russia is at its high point. The Russo-Sino axis, along with the BRI takes us to Mckinder's words - he who rules Eurasia will rule the heartland, who rules the heartland will

U.P.S.C.

rule the world island, who rules the world island will rule the world".

American policy elites cannot tolerate this, and are taking remedial and preventative steps, shaping the real world of politics.

The AUKUS alliance, the Quadrilateral security dialogue have been formed. ASEAN centrality is under question. US forces have vacated Afghanistan. American's Asia Pivot and Chinese aggressions have decisively shifted India towards the ~~great~~ "West".

This rivalry is also shaping scholarly debates about the nature of the world order and its future.

U.P.S.C.

Realists like Graham Allison give the concept of Thucydides Trap and predict a war between the hegemon and the revisionist. Similar predictions have been made by Kenneth Organski ("Power Transition Theory").

There are those like Fareed Zakaria, Gilpin, Kindleberg (Hegemonic stability theory) who predict an end of US hegemony. But many do not see it as a foregone conclusion as Balance of Power initiatives have started, and China may have overplayed its hand.

The Politics especially International politics is a bottomless borderless sea, where it is difficult to understand objective reality, let alone predict the future.

2b

The Indo-Pacific is the key geopolitical theatre of our times. In Shinzo Abe's words, it can be understood as the "dynamic coupling of the seas" of Indian and Pacific Ocean.

Till the 20th century, the Atlantic and Eurasian landmass could be seen as the centre of gravity of IP as power was concentrated in USA, Western Europe and USSR.

The shift to Indo Pacific signifies the rise of China and the relative ascendancy of Asian Tigers, ASEAN and India. The 21st century

U.P.S.C.

is to be the Asian Century.

If USA was the net security provider in the "Asia Pacific", this role is being challenged by China through BRI, claims on South China Sea, Taiwan, incursions in Japanese airspace etc.

It also signifies the centrality of India and the relative decline of US power. ^{USA} It cannot balance China alone, and thus looks at offshore balancing - working with India and other allies.

To this end, the QUAD has crystallised with two leader-level meetings in 2021. The QUAD aims not merely to be an anti-china

U.P.S.C.

grouping but to provide public goods for a safe, secure, free and open Indo-Pacific.

It is focusing on climate change, infrastructure, semi conductor manufacturing, supply chain diversification. It has pledged to donate 1B vaccines for covid-19 and created working groups for the above. India & Japan are building infrastructure in Africa and myanmar, India-Aus in the Pacific islands etc.. while Japan, USA & Australia are allies, India's strategic engagement has accelerated with logistics exchange agreements, 2+2 dialogues etc..

QUAD thus has not dissipated like "sea foam". It must engage nations like Vietnam, South Korea, Indonesia to gain greater legitimacy

2c

The international system comprises of states ~~are also~~ poles of power, international institutions, and non-state actors. Since the Treaty of Westphalia, we had a multipolar world system, followed by bipolarity during the Cold War, and then unipolarity post the collapse of the USSR.

Today, the world order is in a state of flux, with emerging indications of multipolarity. There is a decline of institutions of multilateralism. In the words of Shashi Tharoor and Saran, the world today is one where

consensus is illusive, competition is rampant and cooperation is sporadic.

One of the major factors behind this state of affairs is the rise of China. In merely 3 decades, China has become a force to reckon with. It has hegemonic ambitions to shape a Sino-centric order around the middle kingdom.

USA is determined to retain its hegemony. US-China tensions are making global institutions like WTO dysfunctional, tearing rendering arms control treaties like the Intermediate nuclear

forces treaty ^(INF) unviable. China's rise has also led to interruption of hyperglobalisation in the West.

Yet China's rise is not the sole factor behind the momentous changes.

Some other factors contributing to the changing structure of international system are - the return of Ottoman (empire) mentality in Turkey, Russian expansionist ambitions, rise of non-state actors in West Asia and North Africa, opening of Arctic routes, a desire for global Britain, to name a few.

Middle powers like India, Japan, France, Germany, etc. are determined to not let the international system become hostage to the emerging cold war.

U.P.S.C.

3a

The role of institutions in world politics is most thoroughly examined by the liberal school of International Politics. The first such institution were the League of Nations and the International Labour Organisation (ILO) formed during the interval period under the influence of early liberals/ idealists in the international space like Woodrow Wilson.

According to liberal Institutionalists like Joseph Nye (J.), institutions

U.P.S.C.

help convert the jungle of IP into a zoo. They take inspiration from the domestic sphere where state institutions prevent anarchy.

To them, institutions perform the following tasks -

- (i) Platform for dispute resolution
- (ii) To ~~deliberate~~ deliberate upon norms, and draft binding treaties
- (iii) constrain the behaviour of political actors

In contemporary times, some institutions have played a positive role towards cooperation among nation states.

U.P.S.C.

- (i) The UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) under which Kyoto Protocol and Paris Agreement were signed have led to acceleration in cooperation towards clean energy.
- (ii) Montreal Protocol helped reverse ozone hole formation.
- (iii) UN-Peacekeeping Missions have helped build peace in Sudan, Indo-China, Korean Peninsula etc.
- (iv) WHO has been instrumental in vaccine programs for Polio, Small Pox, Diphtheria etc.
- However, there are critics of this approach. Joseph Stiglitz has shown how global financial

U.P.S.C.

institutions like the World Bank and IMF have destabilized Latin American States, as they are unaccountable, non-transparent and non-representative. Marxists have pointed out that these institutions merely serve the interests of metropolitan bourgeoisie.

Realists believe that institutions are not built for cooperation but for the hegemony of states. Eg. The Bretton Woods institutions built USA's hegemony in post war years.

We can conclude by referring to the views of English School / Hedley Bull - the institutions, norms, laws etc. do constrain to an extent, leading to formation of Anarchical Society.

3b

The Feminist Approach to International Politics is a recent but fast gaining recognition. In the tradition of Critical Theory, it rejects the problem solving approach of the traditional schools (Realism, Liberalism, ^{Marxism}) by questioning the bias that inform them.

Feminists believe that mainstream theories are actually "male-stream" theories based on the experiences of men. This bias, they believe, must be rejected as it not only subordinates women in Global Politics but also

U.P.S.C.

creates conditions for war and conflict ("men make war because war makes men").

Feminists believe the approach to security should not be limited to state security but include "human security" as women are the worst affected by war, and their bodies become instruments of war through rape and sexual crimes.

Feminists believe that realists have distorted global politics. Ann I

Tickner, hence reformulates Hans Morgenthau's 6 principles of realism. She says human nature

has both male & feminine components, that national interest cannot be taken as homogenous, power should be conceptualised not just as 'power-over' but 'power with', and that 'ethics' cannot be divorced from politics if politicians are to be held accountable.

Thus feminists call for greater interrog-
ation of masculinist biases, and
more representation of women in
decisionmaking. UNSC Resolution 1325
endorses this demand. Many
countries like ~~Sweden~~ Sweden have
adopted feminist foreign policy.
Spain & Canada recognise sexual
exploitation as grounds for refugee
status. Rape is seen as a war
crime. Thus feminists display a
genuine concern for peace & well being
and this is being recognised.

3c

Social Constructivism is a rejective approach to International Politics that gained currency after the end of cold war as realism could not predict or explain the end of cold war and collapse of the Soviet Union. Alexander Wendt, a constructivist showed how it was a result of mistrust and misunderstandings.

Constructivists question the basic assumptions of Realism that the reality can be observed and understood objectively.

They believe that man creates his social world. The way

we see this world is influenced by our norms, culture and way of life.

Thus, the realist construction of Anarchy as "lawlessness" where states have to struggle for power in order to survive is not necessarily true. This is the Hobbesian view, whereas we can see the state of nature not just as anarchy but a state of peace, mutual cooperation and goodwill, which is how John Locke saw it.

Anthony Giddens thus gives us the concept of structuration.

U.P.S.C.

We are not merely constrained by structures but we can also shape them, negating Kenneth Waltz "scientific" realism.

Thus, efforts should be made to for cooperation between states.

The post modernist approach inspired by Lyotard, Derrida and Foucault differs from the constructivists in that the latter believe that the reality can be uncovered through dialogue between various perspectives but post modernists do not recognise the existence of an objective reality. For them everything is chaos and narratives shaped by power relations.

2a
5a

The concept of soft power was given by Joseph Nye (Jr) as the power of attraction where one gets others to do what they want without force.

soft Power has historically been an important tool for India, in the absence of hard power post independence.

Even today, soft power is one of India's strength. Its components include -

- South - South cooperation through IITEC, GSAT-9 etc

U.P.S.C.

- Advocacy for the global south.
For eg. for IPR relaxations at WTO, and differentiated responsibility at UNFCCC
- Indian cultural goods like bollywood, cuisine, classical music, cricket, ayurveda, yoga
- Indian democracy & vibrant elections.
- Icons such as Mahatma Gandhi
- Indian diaspora, especially highly skilled ones (Nobel laureates, tech leaders)

Analysts and scholars suggest that India must be more strategic, focused and institutionalised in increasing its soft power. Much can be learnt from South Korea's Hallyu Wave

U.P.S.C.

प्रश्न संख्या
(Question No.)

6b

India and Sri Lanka have seen various ups and downs in their relationship over the decades.

Lack of trust exists because -

① Tamil issue - India has always been seen as sympathetic to Sri Lanka's Tamil minority due to cultural affiliations with Indian Tamil. The disaster of India's peace keeping mission under Rajiv - Jayawardhane accord, still hurts the relationships.

The non-implementation of 13th Amendment is a thorn.

② China Factor

Sri Lanka is seen as using

U.P.S.C.

the Chinese card to gain concessions from India. A Lankan port - Hambantota is under Chinese lease for 99 years and the docking of a Chinese naval submarine added to the distrust. Chinese investments abound in the island state, threatening India's security.

Recent events like cancellation of contract to Indian companies to build the East Container Terminal and its grant to Chinese company has not helped matters.

Yet both sides are eager to fix relations. India has taken steps to help Sri Lanka during its food and foreign crisis.

U.P.S.C.

5c

India Africa relations have gone from historical solidarity to a modern partnership (S. Jaishankar)

India's engagement in Africa is seen as benign, bottom up approach to development through partnerships between sovereign equals, a fundamental precept of south-south cooperation.

India has been investing in capacity building through ITEC, scholarships etc. (e-ITEC for health care professionals during the pandemic).

U.P.S.C.

other areas of engagement include-

- market access (zero tariff trade)
- Digital ecosystem building
(Pan Africa e-network)
- Agriculture innovation
- Peacekeeping and training
of defense personnel
- Anti-piracy operations
- msme level investment

India has begun working with
like-minded countries like Japan,
Saudi Arabia and UAE for more
comprehensive engagement.

India distinguishes itself from
China which undertakes extractive
investment and has been accused
of debt trap of diplomacy.

5d

Free Trade Agreements between countries allow for tariff-free trade between the jurisdictions.

India today has limited FTAs such as with ASEAN, some with South Asian states.

It recently decided to stay away from RCEP (ASEAN+5), has not been able to sign one with USA and negotiations with the EU are stuck.

Scholars such as Shiv Shankar Menon, Shyam Saran, etc in "The world Adrift" write that India needs more FTAs and should join the RCEP.

U.P.S.C.

As the international order is in flux, the World Trade Org. is dysfunctional. Countries like USA are imposing tariffs and the dispute resolution mechanism under WTO is dysfunctional.

India is a middle power that benefits from multilateralism. In the absence of multilateralism, it has to take bilateral approach. Post liberalization, trade contributed to 25% of its growth - thus India cannot increase its comprehensive national power, which cannot happen without ~~FT~~ FTAs.

India must ensure FTAs are balanced, and other impediments to trade like infrastructure & customs processes are simplified.

5e

India's cultural tradition believes in "sarve Bhavantu Sukhyam". It guides India's foreign policy towards humanitarianism.

India's humanitarian diplomacy includes

- rescue and relief missions during disasters (operation vanilla)
- rescue missions during conflict (evacuation of South Asian nationals from Afghanistan)
- refugee status on humanitarian grounds (Sri Lankan Tamils, minorities from Afghanistan)
- medicines & essential commodities during war, disasters.

U.P.S.C.

→ post conflict / disaster reconstruction
(homes in Nepal after earth
quake).

Indian humanitarian diplomacy
is anchored in respect for
sovereign states and its people.
It does not have conditionalities
and does not demand
reciprocity.

India's humanitarian diplomacy
has gained it respect, soft
power and the status of
net security provider in the
region neighbourhood.

6a

"What is mine today, was someone else's yesterday
It will be someone else's tomorrow
Change is the law of the Universe""
The Bhagwad Gita -

International order is in a state of flux, and the Indo-Pacific is the key geopolitical theatre where the contest between the hegemon (USA) and the revisionist (China) is taking place. Within this theatre the contest is hottest in the South China Sea; ^{where} analysts believe a military conflict is possible.

The South China Sea is a waterbody straddling Northern parts of South East Asia and southern

U.P.S.C.

Chinese mainland, including Taiwan.

It borders Philippines, Vietnam,

Indonesia, Brunei etc. ~~The~~ China
here claims large parts of the
sea within its nine dash line
in contravention of the EEZ claims
of these nations under the
UN Laws of the Seas (UNCLOS).

Fight for the soul of international
order

China's claims in the South China
Sea have been rejected by the
~~the~~ Permanent Court of Arbitration
under the UNCLOS. Yet China has
rejected the verdict.

China, under Xi Jinping has

entered its "get strong" phase, no longer satisfied with "hiding its strength, and biding its time". Its relative power has accelerated compared to SE Asian states. It has area denial capabilities in the SCS. Thus it feels emboldened to bend the rules to its liking.

This hurts American hegemony in the regions and threatens the "rules based world order". It threatens freedom of movement in sea lines of communication, which can jeopardise the prosperity of trading states, including India. If the balance of power further tilts in the region, China could be emboldened to use force.

to annex Taiwan.

Thus to maintain BOP, USA is spearheading efforts like the QUAD, and AUKUS while increasing its Freedom of navigation operations in Taiwan strait.

India's Approach to South China Sea

→ India believes in following rules based world order i.e the UNCLOS [India had accepted the PCA verdict in the maritime dispute with Bangladesh]

→ It provides strategic support to countries like Vietnam in the South China Sea.

→ J. Jaishankar said that negotiations on code of conduct between ASEAN and Asia should not jeopardise the interests of third nations.

6b

With the exit of US-led forces from Afghanistan, the Emirate has come under the rule of the Taliban faction led by Haghanis which are seen as a veritable arm of Pakistan's ISI.

For India, this is a worrisome situation. India's long term

policy in Afghanistan has been denying Pakistan a strategic depth in Afghanistan.

There are fears that anti-India groups can now operate in

U.P.S.C.

Afghanistan, giving prausible deniability to Pakistan. Further, it threatens India's plan to use Afghanistan as a route to Central Asia and Eurasia through the Chabahar port and the INSTC.

Since the fall of Taliban I.D., India's policy was to provide developmental support to the UN-recognised Afghan govt under Karzai, and Ashraf Ghani, leaving the security aspects ^{to} the US-led forces. It had not formally officially engaged with the Taliban (policy of "no good terrorist no bad terrorist")

Now that Taliban now that -

Taliban 2.0 is in the seat, India has to have a paradigmatic shift in its policy. It will have to engage with the Taliban which will not want to forever solely depend ^{on} _{of} its Pakistani benefactors.

The Taliban will also soon realise that fighting is easier than governing as the country stares at economic ruin and starvation. It cannot survive without Indian assistance, and India can leverage that. Lastly, it must engage with Iran, Russia and even Turkey to protect its security interests.

The recent Delhi Dialogue with NSAs shows that India understands - "If you are not at the table, you will be on the menu".

8c In the New World Disorder, there are multiple alignments and multiple interests, rather than clean blocks. In such a world, India seeks "issue-based alignments" but sometimes, this can create tensions. India's relations with Iran exemplify this disorder.

Importance of Iran:

Iran's geography is of interest to India, even more so since the fall of Afghanistan. Through the Chabahar port, India could have gained access to Afghanistan, Central Asia, and Russia - making it the

U.P.S.C.

centre piece of India's Eurasia "connect central Asia" policy

It shares borders with Pakistan. Thus influence in Iran gave India a way to subvert Pakistan's nefarious designs.

Iranian extensive oil deposits fuelled India. They were more economical as due to a 60 day credit policy and free insurance.

challenges in managing relations

- ① The US-challenge - over the last two decades, India has entered into a "strategic comprehensive global Partnership" with USA.

** now, it must learn to live in one.

U.P.S.C.

USA on the other hand views Iran as a strategic threat, dubbed an axis of evil by George Bush (Jr.).

Trump ~~is~~ reneged on the JCPOA and the subsequent sanctions have hurt Indian oil purchases from Iran, the Chabahar rail link and Farzad-B oil field investments.

② Israel & Arab states - India's growing equities with Israel, Saudi Arabia, UAE and the talks of Indo-Arabic Accords further complicates Indo-Iranian relations. Israel & Arab monarchies see Iran as an existential threat.

This has pushed Iran into the Chinese orbit & they concluded a 25 year, 600 B USD deal recently, conducted joint exercises in the Persian Gulf (with Russia) As Raja Mohan says for long India wanted a multipolar world **